

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,127	12/31/2001	Duane Scott Dewald	TI-29919	1069
23494	7590 06/18/2003	·		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			EXAMINER	
DALLAS, TX			MACK, RICKY LEVERN	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M /
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Astrono	10/039,127	DEWALD ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Ricky L Mack	2873	
Period fo	• •			Iress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. a reply within the statutory minimun rirod will apply and will expire SIX ( latute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corone ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nmunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)☐		This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for al			marita ia
•	closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	inents is
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12,16-18,29-34</u>	and 36 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-15,19,24 and 35</u> is/are rejected	d.		
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 20-23 and 25-28 is/are objected to	0.		
8) 🗀	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requiremer	nt.	
	on Papers	·		
9)🛛 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)⊠ 7	he drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2001	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□ Т	he proposed drawing correction filed on $\_$	is: a) approved b	) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received	l.	
:	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	in Application No	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International</li> </ol>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	tage
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			pplication).
15)⊠ A	The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application hestic priority under 35 U.	as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO- r: Detailed Action .	
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6	

Application/Control Number: 10/039,127

Art Unit: 2873

DEWALD ET AL.

Page 2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- Claims 9-12, 16-18, 29-34 and 36 have been withdrawn from further consideration 1. pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.
- 2. Applicant's election of claims 1-8, 13-15, 19-28 and 35 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The term "display engine" in claim 35 is not provided in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

DEWALD ET AL.

Art Unit: 2873

- 5. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not define what makes up a "display engine" as claimed.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, the limitations of the steps of the claim require multiple "if" conditions and as such, the limitations are not considered positive limitations which render the claim and its dependents indefinite.

In claim 24, line 4, the phrase "a partial turning mirror to replace an existing turning mirror in said projection system' optical path" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear if "an existing turning mirror" is part of the scope of the claim. The examiner recommends deletion of the phrase "to replace an existing turning mirror in said projection system' optical path". It does not appear that this limitation adds to the claim, except that it adds to the indefiniteness of what is claimed.

8. Claims 19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: a micro-controller and brightness

Art Unit: 2873

control element is missing from claims 19 and 24. Applicant claims a detector, which controls brightness, but the specification does not disclose that the detector alone can control brightness. The detector works in concert with a micro-controller and brightness control element to control brightness.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-8 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 20-23 and 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowability: The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 1-8, 20-23 and 25-28, wherein the claimed invention comprises a lamp providing a white light along a first light path, servo positioning said lamp, a partial mirror on said first path, said partial mirror separating said white light into a primary beam and a secondary beam, relay lenses, red-blue-green color splitting prisms and a micro-controller coupled to servo motors, as claimed. The combination of all the claimed features are not anticipated or made obvious by the prior art and all of said features are relied upon for a determination of allowability.

Art Unit: 2873

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited for disclosing an optical device for controlling luminous intensity and/or servo controlled positioning: Mizutani et al. (5488230), Nakayama et al. (5626409) and Nakayama et al. (6309073).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky L Mack whose telephone number is (703) 305-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM to 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

RM

June 15, 2003

RICKY MACK